IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

Efrain Flores-Sanchez, #06473-090,)	C/A NO. 9:10-1696-CMC-BM
Plaintiff,)))	OPINION and ORDER
v.)	
Warden Mary M. Mitchell,)	
Defendant.)	
)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's *pro se* complaint, filed in this court pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(d), DSC, this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On February 23, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that Defendant's motion to dismiss be denied. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if they failed to do so. Neither party has filed objections to the Report and the time for doing so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by

9:10-cv-01696-CMC Date Filed 03/21/11 Entry Number 27 Page 2 of 2

the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28

U.S.C. § 636(b). The court reviews the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection.

See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that

"in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but

instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept

the recommendation.") (citation omitted).

After reviewing the record of this matter, the applicable law, and the Report and

Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the court agrees with the Report and its conclusions.

Therefore, the court adopts and incorporates the Report by reference in this order.

Defendant's motion to dismiss (Dkt. #19, filed Dec. 20, 2010) is **denied**. This matter is

returned to the Magistrate Judge for further pretrial proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Cameron McGowan Currie

CAMERON McGOWAN CURRIE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Columbia, South Carolina

March 21, 2011

2